

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,653	KIM, ANDREW	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734		
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Lindsey Bachman</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>James Chang</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>9 November 2009</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	n/a		
Claims discussed:	15, 16		
Prior art documents discussed:	n/a		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Attorney Chang agreed to add the limitations of Claim 16 to Claim 15 in the form of an Examiner's Amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		